UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,167	01/25/2006	Jan Bastiaan Bouwstra	0807620.00107	1083
545 IP Patent Docke	7590 12/10/200 eting	8	EXAM	IINER
K&L GATES LLP DESAI, ANAND U				NAND U
599 Lexington 2 33rd Floor	Avenue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY	10022-6030		1656	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/540,167	BOUWSTRA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ANAND U. DESAI	1656	
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	ition appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI BY CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation. ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI , by statute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communicatio BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL . 2b Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	☐ This action is non-final. r allowance except for formal mat	• •	s
Disposition of Claims			
4)	are withdrawn from consideration		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the E 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to b) accepted or b) objected to on to the drawing(s) be held in abeya e correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in A Ocuments have been the priority documents have been Ocuments have been the laceton of the laceton ocuments have been received.	application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

Application/Control Number: 10/540,167 Page 2

Art Unit: 1656

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to the amendment filed on August 21, 2008. Claims 20 and 22 have been cancelled. New claims 23-29 have been added. Claims 12-19, 21, and 23-29 are currently pending.

2. Newly submitted claims 28 and 29 directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: the claims are drawn to a composition similar to claim 22, which was withdrawn previously because of a lack of unity.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 28 and 29 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

3. Claims 12-19, 21, and 23-27 are under examination currently.

Withdrawal of Rejections

- 4. The rejection of claims 12 and 14-18 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wandrey et al. (U.S. Patent 5,906,940) is withdrawn based on the amendment to incorporate the limitation of less than 5% of hydroxyproline residues.
- 5. The rejection of claims 12-18 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 91/07485 (IDS 6/17/2005; document #3) is withdrawn based on the amendment to incorporate the limitation of less than 5% of hydroxyproline residues.
- 6. The rejection of claims 19-21 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 91/07485 in view of WO 01/34646 (IDS 6/17/2005; document #4) is withdrawn based on the

Application/Control Number: 10/540,167 Page 3

Art Unit: 1656

amendment to incorporate the limitation of less than 5% of hydroxyproline residues and the remarks filed July 1, 2008.

Pending Rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 8. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 9. The term "essentially" in claim 24 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The phrase "free of hydroxyproline" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. Suggest, "...the gelatine-like protein is free of hydroxyproline...".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 10. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 11. Claims 12-19, 21, and 23-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which

Art Unit: 1656

was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

12. The rejection was explained in the office action mailed January 2, 2008 and applies to the newly submitted claims as well.

Response to Remarks

13. Applicant's state the specification describes the invention as now claimed in amended claim 12 in sufficient detail that a person of ordinary skill in the art will clearly conclude that applicant was in possession of the claimed subject matter. Applicant's state features that "make a gelatin-like protein similar to a gelatin protein" are described at page 8, line 8 et seq. of applicant's specification. These features include the absence of non-preferred 3-dimensional globular domains (page 8, line 9-12). Applicant's state the structure of a gelatine-like protein to be employed in the invention which has a molecular weight of 65 kDa as compared with a protein of molecular weight 145 kDa will be shorter with fewer Gly-Xaa-Yaa triplets and fewer prolines and the like. Applicant's cite the disclosure on page 10, line 30 to page 11, line 23 to state that a gelatine-like protein structure is disclosed.

Applicant's arguments filed July 1, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., absence of 3-dimensional globular domains) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). The issue in

Art Unit: 1656

this application is the breadth of the claims in light of the predictability of the art as determined by the number of working examples, the skill level of the artisan, and the guidance presented in the instant specification and the prior art of record. Without sufficient guidance, determination of having the desired biological characteristics is unpredictable and the experimentation left to those skill in the art is unnecessarily and improperly extensive and undue. Therefore, absent direction/guidance regarding whether the gelatine-like protein identified by formula can tolerate the modifications contemplated a non-functional gelatine-like protein polymer may result and one of skill in the art would not be able to practice the claimed invention commensurate in scope with the claims.

Conclusion

- 14. No claims are allowed.
- 15. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/540,167 Page 6

Art Unit: 1656

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANAND U. DESAI whose telephone number is (571)272-0947. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00 a.m. - 5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jon P. Weber can be reached on (517) 272-0925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

December 6, 2008 /ANAND U DESAI/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1656